

**Civil Mag Court: District of George Held at George Case 2578-14:  
30 Sep 2015 – 28 Oct 2016 correspondence LJ & Frode Moe**

---

---

From: EoP MILED Clerk <eop.miled.clerk@gmail.com>  
Date: Fri, Sep 30, 2016 at 12:22 PM  
To: Frode & Talitha Moe <fro.moe@online.no>, Talitha Moe <lee.moe@telkomsa.net>  
Cc: Clive Johnstone <clann@telkomsa.net>  
Subject: Frode & Talitha Moe: Service of: GMC 2578-14: Letter to Mag Essel: Request for Info: Filing of an Amended Notice of Motion; Dignitas Letter.

---

From: EoP MILED Clerk <eop.miled.clerk@gmail.com>  
Date: Fri, Sep 30, 2016 at 12:22 PM  
Subject: Frode & Talitha Moe: Service of: GMC 2578-14: Letter to Mag Essel: Request for Info: Filing of an Amended Notice of Motion; Dignitas Letter.  
To: Frode & Talitha Moe <fro.moe@online.no>, Talitha Moe <lee.moe@telkomsa.net>  
Cc: Clive Johnstone <clann@telkomsa.net>

TO: Frode & Talitha Moe (fro.moe@online.no); Talitha Moe (lee.moe@telkomsa.net)  
CC: Clive Johnstone (clann@telkomsa.net)

Frode & Talitha:

**Frode & Talitha Moe: Service of: GMC 2578-14: Letter to Mag Essel: Request for Info: Filing of an Amended Notice of Motion; Dignitas Letter.**

***EoP MILED Clerk Gmail Email Account:***

I setup this temporary email address; after my mweb email address was first hacked, and then deleted by MWeb.

If you send me an email and you do not receive a response within two days; please SMS me at 071-170 1954; or phone me; to confirm that I did receive it; and it was not blocked.

***Frode & Talitha Moe: Filing with Clerk of Court in Case 2578-14:***

Attached is a letter and enclosures I am going to file with Magistrate Essel today; regarding my unresolved issues with yourselves; a copy is filed with Magistrate Torlage and Clive Johnstone.

Letter to Magistrate Essel: Re: GMC 2578-14: Lara Johnstone v Frode & Talitha Moe: Request for Info: Filing of an Amended Notice of Motion; Dignitas Letter.

Lara Supporting Affidavit: & Enclosures:

[A] 12 August 2014: Magistrate Essel written ruling in case 2578-14: Lara Johnstone v Frode & Talitha Moe. Pages: 01.

[B] Correspondence to and from Frode Moe: A.01: 30 Dec 2014 1:59 PM; to A.30: A.30 07 April 2016. Pages: 64.

[C] Correspondence to and from Clive Johnstone: B.01 15 Jan 2016 10:57 PM; to B.06: 09 April 2016 7:03 PM. Pages: 11.

[D] 15 Nov 2015: Draft Particulars of Claim: Enclosures: A: 27 May 2014 21:17 hrs: Frode Moe correspondence to Clerk of the Court. B. 13 February 2015 15:42 hrs: Frode Moe correspondence to Plaintiff. C. 15 November 2015 17:22 PM: Frode Moe correspondence to Plaintiff. D. 15 November 2015 22:31 PM: Frode Moe correspondence to Plaintiff. Pages: 15.

If you want to inform Magistrate Essel and myself; that you:

- (a) agree and/or disagree with anything stated in my letter and supporting evidence to Magistrate Essel:
  - a. you can do so by filing an affidavit and supporting evidence for the attention of Magistrate Essel; with the Clerk of the Court; a copy should be provided to myself; and also to Magistrate Torlage and Clive Johnstone; if any reference is made to them; or
  - b. if you need more time; you can file a letter before 21 October 2016, informing Magistrate Essel and myself how much time you need to provide the court with your response to the issues in dispute in the letter to Magistrate Essel.

***Clive Johnstone: Filing with Clerk of Court in Case 2578-14:***

If you want to inform Magistrate Essel, Frode and Talitha Moe and myself; that you:

- (b) agree and/or disagree with anything stated in my letter and supporting evidence to Magistrate Essel and Frode & Talitha;
  - a. you can do so by filing an affidavit and supporting evidence for the attention of Magistrate Essel; with the Clerk of the Court; a copy should be provided to myself; and also to Magistrate Torlage; if any reference is made to statements or issues in dispute dealt with before Magistrate Torlage; or
  - b. if you need more time; you can file a letter before 21 October 2016, informing Magistrate Essel, Frode & Talitha Moe; myself and Magistrate Torlage; how much time you need to provide Magistrate Essel with your response to the issues in my letter.

Respectfully

Lara Johnstone

---

From: EoP MILED Clerk  
Date: Fri, Sep 30, 2016 at 10:09 PM  
To: Frode & Talitha Moe, Talitha Moe  
Cc: Clive Johnstone  
Subject: Frode & Talitha Moe: Service of: GMC 2578-14: Letter to Mag Essel: Request for Info: Filing of an Amended Notice of Motion; Dignitas Letter.

---

From: EoP MILED Clerk <eop.miled.clerk@gmail.com>  
Date: Fri, Sep 30, 2016 at 10:09 PM  
Subject: Frode & Talitha Moe: Service of: GMC 2578-14: Letter to Mag Essel: Request for Info: Filing of an Amended Notice of Motion; Dignitas Letter.  
To: Frode & Talitha Moe <fro.moe@online.no>, Talitha Moe <lee.moe@telkomsa.net>  
Cc: Clive Johnstone <clann@telkomsa.net>

TO: Frode & Talitha Moe (fro.moe@online.no); Talitha Moe (lee.moe@telkomsa.net)  
CC: Clive Johnstone (clann@telkomsa.net)

Frode & Talitha:

**Frode & Talitha Moe: Service of: GMC 2578-14: Letter to Mag Essel: Request for Info: Filing of an Amended Notice of Motion; Dignitas Letter.**

All of the court officials including the Clerk of the Court were at a function this afternoon; so I could not deliver the documents to the Clerk. I will deliver them to the Clerk on Monday; and once delivered provide you, Millers and Pa; with a clerk stamped printed copy.

Respectfully

Lara Johnstone

---

From: EoP MILED Clerk  
To: Frode Moe, Talitha Moe  
Cc: Clive Johnstone  
Date: Mon, Oct 3, 2016 at 9:25 PM  
Subject: Fwd: Frode & Talitha Moe: Service of: GMC 2578-14: Letter to Mag Essel: Request for Info: Filing of an Amended Notice of Motion; Dignitas Letter.

---

From: EoP MILED Clerk <eop.miled.clerk@gmail.com>  
Date: Mon, Oct 3, 2016 at 9:25 PM  
Subject: Fwd: Frode & Talitha Moe: Service of: GMC 2578-14: Letter to Mag Essel: Request for Info: Filing of an Amended Notice of Motion; Dignitas Letter.  
To: Frode Moe <fro.moe@online.no>, Talitha Moe <lee.moe@telkomsa.net>  
Cc: Clive Johnstone <clann@telkomsa.net>

TO: Frode & Talitha Moe (fro.moe@online.no); Talitha Moe (lee.moe@telkomsa.net)  
CC: Clive Johnstone (clann@telkomsa.net)

Frode & Talitha:

**Frode & Talitha Moe: Service of: GMC 2578-14: Letter to Mag Essel: Request for Info: Filing of an Amended Notice of Motion; Dignitas Letter.**

I delivered the documents to Millers and the Clerk of the Court today. I shall drop your printed and clerk stamped copy in your mailbox outside your gate, for you tomorrow.

Respectfully

Lara Johnstone

---

From: EoP MILED Clerk  
Date: Sat, Oct 15, 2016 at 3:32 PM  
To: Zarita van Eyk  
Cc: Frode Moe, Talitha Moe, Douglas Henney: Millers Inc Director, Clive Johnstone  
Subject: GMC 2578-14: Response from Mag Essel & Torlage via Clerk of Court

---

From: EoP MILED Clerk <eop.miled.clerk@gmail.com>  
Date: Sat, Oct 15, 2016 at 3:32 PM  
Subject: GMC 2578-14: Response from Mag Essel & Torlage via Clerk of Court  
To: Zarita van Eyk <ZvanEykh@justice.gov.za>  
Cc: Frode Moe <fro.moe@online.no>, Talitha Moe <lee.moe@telkomsa.net>, "Douglas Henney: Millers Inc Director" <Douglas@phinc.co.za>, Clive Johnstone <clann@telkomsa.net>

TO: Zarita van Eyk (ZvanEykh@justice.gov.za)

CC: Clive Johnstone (clann@telkomsa.net)  
CC: Frode Moe (fro.moe@online.no); Talitha Moe (lee.moe@telkomsa.net);  
CC: Mr. Fanie Botes and Arno Crous via Douglas Henney: Millers Inc Director (Douglas@phinc.co.za)

Clerk of Court: Zarita van Eyk:

**GMC 2578-14: Response from Mag Essel & Torlage via Clerk of Court**

Acknowledged as Received: I received the attached letter dated 06 October 2016, Subject: Lara Johnson / Clive Johnson Case Nr 5048-2015; Lara Johnson / Frode Moe Case Nr 2578-14; from Magistrate Essel and Torlage, via yourself, yesterday.

Respectfully

Lara Johnstone

---

Date: Sun, Oct 16, 2016 at 11:46 AM  
From: Frode Moe <fro.moe@online.no>  
To: eop.miled.clerk@gmail.com  
Subject: Re: Frode & Talitha Moe: Service of: GMC 2578-14: Letter to Mag Essel: Request for Info: Filing of an Amended Notice of Motion; Dignitas Letter.

---

From: Frode Moe <fro.moe@online.no>  
Date: Sun, Oct 16, 2016 at 11:46 AM  
Subject: Re: Frode & Talitha Moe: Service of: GMC 2578-14: Letter to Mag Essel: Request for Info: Filing of an Amended Notice of Motion; Dignitas Letter.  
To: eop.miled.clerk@gmail.com

To Lara; with copy to the George Magistrates Court, and Clive/Ann Johnston

I confirm that I have received, by email, your PARTICULARS OF CLAIM -DRAFT, and the Request for Info ( stamped by the Clerk of the GM-Court 03.Oct 2016)

I hope this request for information from Lara is an attempt to solve the case outside the court.

The request for info from Lara to me contains 83 pages which is pathognomonic(symptomatic for a disease without doubt) for Lara. I will concentrate my comment on the central points in this case.

If I do not comment on other points that does not imply that they are necessarily correct.

THIS EMAIL WILL CONTAIN 3 SECTIONS

A. Comments on Lara's request for info

B. My request for tax reports and other requests from Lara

C. MESSAGE TO THE GEORGE MAGISTRATE COURT

A

1) Again , My wife TALITA is not involved at all in this matter.

There has never been a Barter agreement between Lara and us at Wifontein Rd 22.

Your mother, Ann, asked my wife, Talita, if we would point out a small section of our garden where you [ Lara] could work with your "wormery".

Your parents helped you establish a wormery in our garden so you could produce liquid fertilizer. The wormery could give you a meaningful activity and a possible income. We , Talita and I , were positive to the request from Ann in order to help you.

There was no handshake agreement between you Lara and Talita or with me and no written agreement.

Your activity in our garden for 6-7 years functioned mostly positive for both sides. You were looking after our dogs when we, once in a while were away. We received some compost at times as well as bought compost from you.

You were allowed to bring in what you needed for your wormery even if it sometimes was unpleasant smelling -

for example from rotting eggshells from a restaurant.

However I had to stop you from expanding your composting activity such as 1: not allowing people from the area to deliver leaves and other compost material to you,2: not expanding any further than the 30-40 m you had expanded your composting activity into our garden without asking for permission. After August 2009 your activity was entirely on my property and not on my wife's property.

When I in January 2014 read you allegations of sexual harassment against me , we [ Lara and your parents and Talita and I], had the meeting at Witfontein Rd 22 where I gave the order that you had to be out of my property within 1-2 months.

For the future you were not allowed to be on my property alone and needed a person along with you as a witness of what is being said between us. In May as you still had not totally moved out of my property and you were taking us to court, I gave your father Clive the firm instruction to see to that your activity at my property without delay came to an end. It was my decisions and not from your aunt Talita.

Conclusion NO BARTER AGREEMENT AND

TALITA SHOULD NEVER HAVE BEEN INCLUDED AND BROUGHT INTO THIS CASE.

2 ) LARA's Request for info nr 13 is an incorrect statement as I never received your permission to

delay the case until I was back in September, 2013. That is why the the case started in the GMC on the

1st of July and Talita had to tackle all the stress and trouble to front your allegations.

I had begged you to exclude Talita but you showed no sympathy for your aunt.

She needed therefore to pay for a lawyer to help her in the court.

That happened in spite of my repeated protests saying that the case should not start before I came back. Talita WAS NOT A PART OF THIS CASE AT ALL.

3) Your Request for info nr 26. We never communicated to the Johnston family anything else

than that the Court-case in 2014 was dismissed as the case was outside the jurisdiction of the court..

4 )Lara had in 2002 been arrested for a bomb-threat at the George Airport. Out of the blue, without any previous communication with Lara or her parents, I , residing in Norway, got a long email from Lara. The email was not only long but also incoherent and to me the email was a clear indication that the writer, Lara, at that stage had a mental problem, a mental disease.

So in order to help Lara , I sent an email with my opinion about Lara to her father Clive. I meant that information would be important in the subsequent court-case, as Lara might have been mentally sick and then not responsible for the bomb-threat. This email was just sent to Lara's father and nobody else. Clive replied and said he disagreed with me in that matter and claimed that Lara did not have any mental disease.

So out of this one e-mail, from me, via her father and to nobody else, trying to help Lara , Lara you are claiming 200 000 Rand for psycho-social damage from me.

This example is symptomatic for the rest of your, Lara's, claims against me. I disagree that my attempts to help you, have caused you psycho-social damage. It is your mental disease that has caused your problems.

5) I have repeatedly recommended that you Lara should see a psychiatrist for your own mental health's best .

Secondly only a psychiatrist can decide and set an accurate diagnosis .

The court is not capable of solving these allegations between Lara and me without a psychiatrist.

I can not see that I can achieve anything by presenting my case directly to you Lara as you will continue to deny

that you are doing anything wrong. That is a central part of your disease. You do your actions as a result of delusions. That is why you do not realise that what you are doing, is wrong . Our meetings and communication to resolve the problems, have resulted in more polarisation between us than solving the disagreements .

That is why I have set the condition of using a psychiatrist to whom I would supply my evidence.

You have so far denied to see a psychiatrist .

Again: Only an psychiatrist can settle this diagnosis for you Lara. The court will also depend on assistance from such expertise if the court is set to handle the case . I can see you are at times psychotic because of delusions but you can also function more normal for longer periods.

A treatment by a psychiatrist of a psychotic patient will normally give a good result in 30%,

and another 30% will have some positive improvement ( ref Merck Manual). Some of the treatment is medication, nevroleptica that has improved over the years in effect and with much less side-effects.

## B REQUEST FOR INFO FROM LARA

Since these 100-200 pages I have received from you recently, might represent an approach into the Magistrate Court again:

1) Will you Lara please clarify in your request for info nr 6: "with alleged knowledge of mental legal health issues".

2) I will request from you Lara : 5 years of tax-reports from SARS.

That is very relevant information as persons with a serious mental disorder often have difficulties to stay in a paid job and provide for themselves financially.

3) Can you provide the invitation for support,"Prisoner of Sex and War ShibumiTF MN-737" , you sent early December 2013 to more than 500 recipients in Norway.

These recipients are mostly working for the media but also court officials, lawyers and members of parliament.

You submitted their responses to the Swiss Federal Council referring to the Geneva Convention to treatment of Prisoners of War. This invitation to support , Prisoner of Sex & War-also sent to Timothy McVeigh(executed mass-murderer), US Navy JAG, President Putin co Kremlin Press Office,

is most obviously connected to the court-case against the Norwegian mass-murderer Anders Breivik.

It is relevant information as I am a Norwegian citizen and Lara's sexual harassment allegation

started a year after the court-case against Anders Breivik took place.

#### C . MESSAGE TO GEORGE MAGISTRATE COURT

Lara, a declared RADICAL HONOURSTY ECOFEMINIST GUERRYLLA LAW SUSTAINABLE SECURITY PRACTICING PARALEGAL, MEMBER OF THE RADICAL HONESTY CULTURE is fighting a MASONIC WAR.

Her strongest ammunition is to threaten or take her targets/respondents to court.

Her targets have the last 2-3 years been close family members of Lara.

The respondents are bombarded by hundreds of pages causing stress and headaches , damaging family relationships, and hurting herself.

This " Masonic War" will, without treatment for Lara, most probably continue after this case has come to an end.

This activity is also time consuming and expensive for the court.

So someone has to try to help her and stop her from continuing with these activities.

The court can demand that Lara can only approach the court through a lawyer.

As Lara in 2002 was sentenced for contempt of court

Lara should be denied to represent herself in the court.

She should only appear in court through a lawyer.

Finally I am not and have never been a freemason.

I will not be the last "freemason" taken to court by Lara if she is allowed to continue as she is doing .

#### CONCLUSION :

I can not apologize for telling Lara or her family that Lara has a mental disorder.

That information is only meant to help you since psychiatric treatment gives you more than a 60% chance to make life better for you Lara and your parents.

Regards

Frode Moe

---

Date: Mon, Oct 17, 2016 at 9:10 PM  
From: EoP MILED Clerk  
To: Frode Moe <fro.moe@online.no>  
Subject: Re: Frode & Talitha Moe: Service of: GMC 2578-14: Letter to Mag Essel: Request for Info: Filing of an Amended Notice of Motion; Dignitas Letter.

---

From: EoP MILED Clerk <eop.miled.clerk@gmail.com>  
Date: Mon, Oct 17, 2016 at 9:10 PM  
Subject: Re: Frode & Talitha Moe: Service of: GMC 2578-14: Letter to Mag Essel: Request for Info: Filing of an Amended Notice of Motion; Dignitas Letter.  
To: Frode Moe <fro.moe@online.no>

Frode

I received your email sent 16 Oct 2016 at 11:46 AM.

I shall consider and respond within next few days.

Lara

---

From: EoP MILED Clerk  
Date: Fri, Oct 21, 2016 at 10:17 AM  
Subject: Re: Frode & Talitha Moe: Service of: GMC 2578-14: Letter to Mag Essel: Request for Info: Filing of an Amended Notice of Motion; Dignitas Letter.  
To: Frode Moe

---

From: EoP MILED Clerk <eop.miled.clerk@gmail.com>  
Date: Fri, Oct 21, 2016 at 10:17 AM  
Subject: Re: Frode & Talitha Moe: Service of: GMC 2578-14: Letter to Mag Essel: Request for Info: Filing of an Amended Notice of Motion; Dignitas Letter.  
To: Frode Moe <fro.moe@online.no>

Frode

Sorry for delay. I hope to have completed my response by end of the week.

Lara

---

From: EoP MILED Clerk  
Date: Mon, Oct 24, 2016 at 2:26 AM  
To: Talitha Moe, Millers Inc Director, Clive Johnstone, Ann Johnstone  
Cc: Frode Moe  
Subject: Response from Frode; Re: Service of: GMC 2578-14: Letter to Mag Essel: Request for Info: Filing of an Amended Notice of Motion; Dignitas Letter.

---

From: EoP MILED Clerk <eop.miled.clerk@gmail.com>  
Date: Mon, Oct 24, 2016 at 2:26 AM  
Subject: Response from Frode; Re: Service of: GMC 2578-14: Letter to Mag Essel: Request for Info: Filing of an Amended Notice of Motion; Dignitas Letter.  
To: Talitha Moe <lee.moe@telkomsa.net>, Millers Inc Director <Douglas@phinc.co.za>, Clive Johnstone <clann@telkomsa.net>, Ann Johnstone <annscg@telkomsa.net>  
Cc: Frode Moe <fro.moe@online.no>

TO: Talitha Moe (lee.moe@telkomsa.net); Counsel: Mr. Fanie Botes and Arno Crous via Douglas Henney: Millers Inc Director (Douglas@phinc.co.za)  
TO: Clive Johnstone (clann@telkomsa.net); Ann Johnstone (annscg@telkomsa.net)  
CC: Frode Moe (fro.moe@online.no)

Below find a (i) copy of correspondence received from Frode Moe; which states that it was sent "To Lara; with copy to the George Magistrates Court, and Clive/Ann Johnston"; but the email header only included my email address; not anyone else's; (ii) my acknowledged receipt response..

I don't know if Frode emailed a copy of his 16 October 2016 email to me; to the George Magistrate's court and Clive/Ann Johnstone; separately.

I shall provide my detailed response to Frode's email in the next email.

Lara

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **EoP MILED Clerk** <eop.miled.clerk@gmail.com>  
Date: Fri, Oct 21, 2016 at 10:17 AM  
Subject: Re: Frode & Talitha Moe: Service of: GMC 2578-14: Letter to Mag Essel: Request for Info: Filing of an Amended Notice of Motion; Dignitas Letter.  
To: Frode Moe <fro.moe@online.no>

Frode

Sorry for delay. I hope to have completed my response by end of the week.

Lara

On Mon, Oct 17, 2016 at 9:10 PM, EoP MILED Clerk <[eop.miled.clerk@gmail.com](mailto:eop.miled.clerk@gmail.com)> wrote:  
Frode

I received your email sent 16 Oct 2016 at 11:46 AM.

I shall consider and respond within next few days.

Lara

On Sun, Oct 16, 2016 at 11:46 AM, Frode Moe <[fro.moe@online.no](mailto:fro.moe@online.no)> wrote:  
To Lara; with copy to the George Magistrates Court, and Clive/Ann Johnston

I confirm that I have received, by email, your PARTICULARS OF CLAIM -DRAFT, and the Request for Info ( stamped by the Clerk of the GM-Court 03.Oct 2016)

I hope this request for information from Lara is an attempt to solve the case outside the court.

The request for info from Lara to me contains 83 pages which is pathognomonic(symptomatic for a disease without doubt) for Lara. I will concentrate my comment on the central points in this case.

If I do not comment on other points that does not imply that they are necessarily correct.

THIS EMAIL WILL CONTAIN 3 SECTIONS

- A. Comments on Lara's request for info
- B. My request for tax reports and other requests from Lara
- C. MESSAGE TO THE GEORGE MAGISTRATE COURT

A

1) Again , My wife TALITA is not involved at all in this matter. There has never been a Barter agreement between Lara and us at Wifontein Rd 22. Your mother, Ann, asked my wife, Talita, if we would point out a small section of our garden where you [ Lara] could work with your "wormery".

Your parents helped you establish a wormery in our garden so you could produce liquid fertilizer. The wormery could give you a meaningful activity and a possible income. We , Talita and I , were positive to the request from Ann in order to help you.

There was no handshake agreement between you Lara and Talita or with me and no written agreement.

Your activity in our garden for 6-7 years functioned mostly positive for both sides. You were looking after our dogs when we, once in a while were away. We received some compost at times as well as bought compost from you.

You were allowed to bring in what you needed for your wormery even if it sometimes was unpleasant smelling -

for example from rotting eggshells from a restaurant.

However I had to stop you from expanding your composting activity such as 1: not allowing people from the area to deliver leaves and other compost material to you,2: not expanding any further than the 30-40 m you had expanded your composting activity into our garden without asking for permission. After August 2009 your activity was entirely on my property and not on my wife's property.

When I in January 2014 read you allegations of sexual harassment against me , we [ Lara and your parents and Talita and I], had the meeting at Witfontein Rd 22 where I gave the order that you had to be out of my property within 1-2 months.

For the future you were not allowed to be on my property alone and needed a person along with you as a witness of what is being said between us. In May as you still had not totally moved out of my property and you were taking us to court, I gave your father Clive the firm instruction to see to that your activity at my property without delay came to an end. It was my decisions and not from your aunt Talita.

Conclusion NO BARTER AGREEMENT AND

TALITA SHOULD NEVER HAVE BEEN INCLUDED AND BROUGHT INTO THIS CASE.

2 ) LARA's Request for info nr 13 is an incorrect statement as I never received your permission to

delay the case until I was back in September, 2013. That is why the the case started in the GMC on the

1st of July and Talita had to tackle all the stress and trouble to front your allegations.

I had begged you to exclude Talita but you showed no sympathy for your aunt.

She needed therefore to pay for a lawyer to help her in the court.

That happened in spite of my repeated protests saying that the case should not start before I came back. Talita WAS NOT A PART OF THIS CASE AT ALL.

3) Your Request for info nr 26. We never communicated to the Johnston family anything else

than that the Court-case in 2014 was dismissed as the case was outside the jurisdiction of the court..

4 )Lara had in 2002 been arrested for a bomb-threat at the George Airport.

Out of the blue, without any previous communication with Lara or her parents, I , residing in Norway, got a long email from Lara.

The email was not only long but also incoherent and to me the email was a clear indication that the writer, Lara, at that stage had a mental problem, a mental disease.

So in order to help Lara , I sent an email with my opinion about Lara to her father Clive. I meant that information

would be important in the subsequent court-case, as Lara might have been mentally sick and then not responsible for the bomb-threat. This email was just sent to Lara's father and nobody else.

Clive replied and said he disagreed with me in that matter and claimed that Lara did not have any mental disease.

So out of this one e-mail, from me, via her father and to nobody else, trying to help Lara, Lara you are claiming

200 000 Rand for psycho-social damage from me.

This example is symptomatic for the rest of your, Lara's, claims against me.

I disagree that my attempts to help you, have caused you psycho-social damage.

It is your mental disease that has caused your problems.

5) I have repeatedly recommended that you Lara should see a psychiatrist for your own mental health's best .

Secondly only a psychiatrist can decide and set an accurate diagnosis .

The court is not capable of solving these allegations between Lara and me without a psychiatrist.

I can not see that I can achieve anything by presenting my case directly to you Lara as you will continue to deny

that you are doing anything wrong. That is a central part of your disease. You do your actions as a result of delusions. That is why you do not realise that what you are doing, is wrong . Our meetings and communication to resolve the problems, have resulted in more polarisation between us than solving the disagreements .

That is why I have set the condition of using a psychiatrist to whom I would supply my evidence.

You have so far denied to see a psychiatrist .

Again: Only an psychiatrist can settle this diagnosis for you Lara. The court will also depend on assistance from such expertise if the court is set to handle the case . I can see you are at times psychotic because of delusions but you can also function more normal for longer periods.

A treatment by a psychiatrist of a psychotic patient will normally give a good result in 30%,

and another 30% will have some positive improvement ( ref Merck Manual). Some of the treatment is medication, neuroleptica that has improved over the years in effect and with much less side-effects.

## B REQUEST FOR INFO FROM LARA

Since these 100-200 pages I have received from you recently, might represent an approach into the Magistrate Court again:

1) Will you Lara please clarify in your request for info nr 6: "with alleged knowledge of mental legal health issues".

2) I will request from you Lara : 5 years of tax-reports from SARS.

That is very relevant information as persons with a serious mental disorder often have difficulties to stay in a paid job and provide for themselves financially.

3) Can you provide the invitation for support,"Prisoner of Sex and War ShibumiTF MN-737" , you sent early December 2013 to more than 500 recipients in Norway.

These recipients are mostly working for the media but also court officials, lawyers and members of parliament.

You submitted their responses to the Swiss Federal Council referring to the Geneva Convention to treatment of Prisoners of War. This invitation to support , Prisoner of Sex & War-also sent to Timothy McVeigh(executed mass-murderer), US Navy JAG, President Putin co Kremlin Press Office,

is most obviously connected to the court-case against the Norwegian mass-murderer Anders Breivik.

It is relevant information as I am a Norwegian citizen and Lara's sexual harassment allegation

started a year after the court-case against Anders Breivik took place.

#### C . MESSAGE TO GEORGE MAGISTRATE COURT

Lara, a declared RADICAL HONOURSTY ECOFEMINIST GUERRYLLA LAW SUSTAINABLE SECURITY PRACTICING PARALEGAL, MEMBER OF THE RADICAL HONESTY CULTURE is fighting a MASONIC WAR.

Her strongest ammunition is to threaten or take her targets/respondents to court.

Her targets have the last 2-3 years been close family members of Lara.

The respondents are bombarded by hundreds of pages causing stress and headaches , damaging family relationships, and hurting herself.

This " Masonic War" will, without treatment for Lara, most probably continue after this case has come to an end.

This activity is also time consuming and expensive for the court.

So someone has to try to help her and stop her from continuing with these activities.

The court can demand that Lara can only approach the court through a lawyer.

As Lara in 2002 was sentenced for contempt of court

Lara should be denied to represent herself in the court.

She should only appear in court through a lawyer.

Finally I am not and have never been a freemason.

I will not be the last "freemason" taken to court by Lara if she is allowed to continue as she is doing .

#### CONCLUSION :

I can not apologize for telling Lara or her family that Lara has a mental disorder.

That information is only meant to help you since psychiatric treatment gives you more than a 60% chance to make life better for you Lara and your parents.

Regards

Frode Moe

---

From: EoP MILED Clerk  
Date: Mon, Oct 24, 2016 at 2:47 AM  
To: Frode Moe  
Cc: Talitha Moe, "Douglas Henney: Millers Inc Director", Clive Johnstone, Ann Johnstone  
Subject: Lara Response to Frode Re: GMC 2578-14: Letter to Mag Essel: Request for Info: Filing of an Amended Notice of Motion; Dignitas Letter.

---

From: EoP MILED Clerk <eop.miled.clerk@gmail.com>  
Date: Mon, Oct 24, 2016 at 2:47 AM  
Subject: Lara Response to Frode Re: GMC 2578-14: Letter to Mag Essel: Request for Info: Filing of an Amended Notice of Motion; Dignitas Letter.  
To: Frode Moe <fro.moe@online.no>  
Cc: Talitha Moe <lee.moe@telkomsa.net>, "Douglas Henney: Millers Inc Director" <Douglas@phinc.co.za>, Clive Johnstone <clann@telkomsa.net>, Ann Johnstone <annscg@telkomsa.net>

TO: Frode Moe (fro.moe@online.no)  
CC: Talitha Moe (lee.moe@telkomsa.net); Mr. Fanie Botes and Arno Crous via Douglas Henney: Millers Inc Director (Douglas@phinc.co.za)  
CC: Clive Johnstone (clann@telkomsa.net); Ann Johnstone (annscg@telkomsa.net)

**Lara Response to Frode Re: GMC 2578-14: Letter to Mag Essel: Request for Info: Filing of an Amended Notice of Motion; Dignitas Letter.**

**Contents:**

- \* Acknowledged Receipt of 16 Oct 2016 email
- \* Response to Request for Information to Magistrate Essel.
- \* Options: Apology or Financial Damages court proceedings.
- \* Options: Apology or Financial Damages alternative dispute arbitration proceedings.
- \* Removing Talitha as a respondent.
- \* Summary: Summons Damages Claim Amounts: As of 16 Oct 2016: R 800,000.
- \* Ecology of Peace cultural legal definition of Freemason member of Masonic Religion.
- \* Issues not addressed in this correspondence; pending court proceedings or arbitrator
- \* Lara Information provided to Frode Request for Information:

\*~\*~\*~\*

**Acknowledged Receipt of 16 Oct 2016 email:**

I received your email which says among others that you sent it to "To Lara; with copy to the George Magistrates Court, and Clive/Ann Johnston"; but the email header only includes my email address; not anyone else's.

From: Frode Moe <fro.moe@online.no>  
Date: Sun, Oct 16, 2016 at 11:46 AM  
Subject: Re: Frode & Talitha Moe: Service of: GMC 2578-14: Letter to Mag Essel: Request for Info: Filing of an Amended Notice of Motion; Dignitas Letter.

To: eop.miled.clerk@gmail.com

***Printed hard copies of Req for Info documentation delivered to Frode & Talitha:***

You further state that you confirm that you received, by email, the "PARTICULARS OF CLAIM -DRAFT, and the Request for Info ( stamped by the Clerk of the GM-Court 03.Oct 2016)"

On 30 September 2016 I notified you and Talitha, copied to Clive; by electronic service email that "All of the court officials including the Clerk of the Court were at a function this afternoon; so I could not deliver the documents to the Clerk. I will deliver them to the Clerk on Monday; and once delivered provide you, Millers and Pa; with a clerk stamped printed copy."

On 03 October 2016 I notified you and Talitha, copied to Clive, by electronic service email that "I delivered the documents to Millers and the Clerk of the Court today. I shall drop your printed and clerk stamped copy in your mailbox outside your gate, for you tomorrow."

On the afternoon of 03 or 04 October 2016 I was walking the dogs to the forest, when I ran into Talitha walking home from shopping at Spar. We spoke very briefly; during which I informed her that I had delivered the court documents to your postbox. She said that she had seen them and that she had informed you that they were in the postbox.

***Awaiting original or copy of Frode correspondence copy to the George Magistrates Court, and Clive/Ann Johnston:***

I have not yet received your email correspondence that you copied to George Magistrates Court, and Clive/Ann Johnston.

I also have not received your response.

\*~~~~\*

**Response to Request for Information to Magistrate Essel.**

The request for information was submitted to Magistrate Essel.

The 06 October 2016 letter from the Clerk of the Court, which I provided you, Talitha and Millers a copy of; stating "You have to institute an action to sue for damages - that means issuing a summons. Magistrates are unfortunately not allowed to get involved in litigating parties and cannot advise," was the response of Magistrate Essel and Torlage to my request for information to them.

\*~~~~\*

**Options: Apology or Financial Damages court proceedings.**

***Apology court proceedings; including reduced mitigation financial damages if apology is sincere.***

If you want to proceed with a court arbitrator where we present our evidence to the Magistrate and the Magistrate makes a final ruling as to whose evidence is justified and whom should

apologize; then you can ask your lawyers to file an application with the clerk of the court, copied to me, to request to withdraw their statement of 'lacking of jurisdiction'; that they made to the court on behalf of yourself and Talitha.

***Financial Damages Summons court proceedings.***

As noted Magistrate Essel's response to my request for information regarding filing an Amended Notice of Motion; was that I should "institute an action to sue for damages - that means issuing a summons."

I shall be drawing up a summons, I don't know how long it will take to be finalized, before it is filed and served on you.

\*~~~~\*

**Options: Apology or Financial Damages alternative dispute arbitration proceedings.**

If you sincerely wish to resolve the matter out of court; whether via apology and/or financial damages proceedings; I suggest that you consider recommending someone whom you consider would be a reasonable arbitrator; whose alternative dispute resolution ruling; after we have submitted our respective evidence to such arbitrator; you would sincerely consider.

If you sincerely wish to resolve the matter out of court; whether via apology and/or financial damages proceedings; my suggestion as an arbitrator would be (a) Magistrate Torlage, if he were willing to consider accepting the dispute; or (b) we can contact various alternative dispute resolution companies; to find out what their alternative dispute resolution procedures are.

\*~~~~\*

**Removing Talitha as a respondent.**

Talitha never informed me that she (i) does not agree with your mental disorder allegations, has asked you to stop making them; and to apologize and withdraw the allegations you have made, or provide your evidence for your allegations; (ii) that she requests to be removed from the case; or (iii) that she has appointed you – Frode Moe – as her lawyer; to speak on her behalf.

Furthermore Millers Inc: the lawyers that she did appoint did not inform me of any of the aforementioned information; or make any efforts to mediate the issue in dispute between us. Consequently the issue in dispute with Talitha remains unresolved.

\*~~~~\*

**Summary: Summons Damages Claim Amounts: As of 16 Oct 2016: R 800,000:**

Based upon information available to me; as of 16 October 2016; in the absence of a written apology and withdrawal of Frode Moe's mental disorder allegations including reasonable offer for psychological integrity damages already incurred; if or when the Summons is filed, the damages claims by Lara Johnstone against Frode Moe in the High Court shall be as follows:

R250,000 for legally unjustified 'mental disorder' allegation made in 2002 & 2014 behind my back, respectively to family members and the clerk of the court.

R100,000 for each legally unjustified 'mental disorder' allegation, made to me, verbally or in writing; from 2014 to present.

As of 16 October 2016, Frode's unjustified mental disorder allegations currently amount to five such allegations; 2 x R250,000, 3 x R100,000; amounting to R800,000: (a) four of which were detailed in Lara Johnstone Affidavit in support of: Request for Info filed with the Clerk of the Court; (b) fifth detailed in email received from Frode Moe, sent on 16 October 2016 at 11:46 AM.

If your response to the court, subsequent to having been served; shall allege that you are claiming to be a (a) sincere, concerned and benevolent patriarch family member; as opposed to a (b) stranger who happens to be married to a biological family relative; whose allegations were negligently made without effort to sincerely engage the individual being accused of a 'mental disorder'; the aforementioned damages amounts requested shall be doubled. If a magistrate or judge finds that your allegations were maliciously made; the damages amount requested shall be increased.

***Clarification regarding EoP meaning of an 'unjustified mental disorder' allegation:***

A legally unjustified mental disorder allegation herein refers to Frode Moe making a verbal or written 'mental disorder' allegation against Lara Johnstone; in or out of court proceedings; that does not include a (a) a scientific based legal definition of mental disorder; (b) evidence in support of such scientific based legal definition.

\*~~~~\*

**Ecology of Peace cultural legal definition of Freemason member of Masonic Religion:**

If I recall correctly; I have previously informed you my working hypothesis cultural legal definition for 'freemason'; but if not: below is my definition for 'religion/culture' and 'freemason'.

I have also included excerpts of correspondence to others; where I have described the Ecology of Peace concept of an EoP Muslim or Christian; namely someone who recognizes Ecology of Peace Factual Reality; and is willing to cooperate to implement an Ecology of Peace international law social contract.

.

***Ecology of Peace Factual Reality Principles:***

1. Earth is not flat. 2. Resources are finite. 3. When humans breed or consume above **ecological carrying capacity limits**, it results in **ecological overshoot**, **resource depletion** and **resource conflict**. 4. Some of the socio-cultural and psycho-political consequences of overpopulation & consumption collision with declining resources include: poverty, slavery, unemployment, food shortages, food inflation, cost of living increases, urban sprawl, traffic jams, toxic waste, pollution, peak oil, peak water, peak food, peak population, species extinction, loss of biodiversity, peak resources, racial, religious, class, gender resource war conflict, militarized police, psycho-social and cultural conformity pressures on free speech, etc; inter-cultural

conflict; legal, political and corporate corruption, etc. 5. The root cause of humans breeding and consuming above ecological carrying capacity limits is the 'right to breed and consume with total disregard for ecological carrying capacity limits' clauses of the [Masonic War is Peace](#) international law social contract. 6. If individuals, families, tribes, races, religions, political parties, corporations and/or nations want to (a) sustainably protect natural resources for future generations; and/or (b) reduce class, racial and/or religious local, national and international resource war conflict; and/or (c) enable honourable, transparent and humane international cooperative de-industrialization and depopulation of the planet to return to living in accordance to ecological carrying capacity limits; they should (d) cooperate to implement an [Ecology of Peace](#) international law social contract that restricts all the worlds citizens to breed and consume below ecological carrying capacity limits; to sustainably protect and conserve natural resources.

### ***Definition of 'religion', 'culture':***

Erich Fromm defines 'religion' as "a group-shared system of thought and action that offers the individual a frame of orientation and an object of devotion":

» "To clarify, "religion" [or "culture" or "ideology"; whichever is your primary psychological paradigm determining your sense of existential purpose] as I use it here does not refer to a system that has necessarily to do with a concept of God or with idols or even to a system perceived as religion, but to any [racial, religious, class or culture] group-shared system of thought and action that offers the individual a frame of orientation and an object of devotion. Indeed, in this broad sense of the world no culture of the past or present, and it seems no culture in the future, can be considered as not have religion.

This definition does not tell us anything about its specific content. People may worship animals, trees, idols of gold or stone, an invisible god, a saintly person, or a diabolical leader; they may worship their ancestors, their nation, their class or party, money or success. Their religion may be conducive to the development of destructiveness or of love, of domination or of solidarity; it may further their power of reason or paralyze it.

.. A specific religion, provided it is effective in motivating conduct, is not a sum total of doctrines and beliefs; it is rooted in a specific character structure of the individual and, inasmuch as it is the religion of a group, in the social character. Thus, our religious attitude may be considered an aspect of our character structure, for we are what we are devoted to, and what we are devoted to is what motivates our conduct. Often however, individuals are not even aware of the real objects of their personal devotion and mistake their "official" beliefs for their real, though secret religion. If, for instance, a man worships [socio-political, military or economic] power while professing a religion of love, the religion of power is his secret religion, while his so-called official religion, for example Christianity, is only an ideology." – Erich Fromm, *To Have or to Be*, pp.135-136 «

### ***Freemason culture/religion/ideology/worldview:***

Masonic War is Peace (WiP) ideology or religion refers to an individual or group of individuals who live according to a Masonic War-is-Peace social contract; which provides its members with the inalienable 'right' to breed and consume with total disregard for ecological carrying capacity

limits, enabling divide and conquer resource wars for the profit and socio-political benefits of the elite.

When a tribe's elite encourage its members to breed and consume above ecological carrying capacity limits; for the divide and conquer culling socio-political profits of the elite; they engage in freemasonry ideology. In order for the Masonic elite to profit from divide and conquer economic and military culling of their factory farmed human cattle slaves; they establish divisions of Masonic ideological tribes based upon alleged new ideologies; such as 'zionism', 'nationalism', 'capitalism', 'communism', 'catholicism', 'christianity', 'islamism'; etc; all of which are founded on the quicksand of Freemasonry: encourage human cattle to overbreed and consume, then racially, nationally, etc divide and conquer; for the profits of the Masonic elite.

Put differently: A Freemason Zionist / Capitalist / Communist / Catholic / Christian / Nationalist / Islamist, etc is someone who endorses Edward Bernaysian Bullshit the Public Relations image management parasite leeching leadership; whose ideology either encourages or endorses ecological illiteracy\*: i.e. their followers right to breed and/or consume above ecological carrying capacity limits; to enable their ability to engage in Freemason Zionist / Capitalist / Communist / Catholic / Christian / Nationalist / Islamist zionism (aka economic, political and military resource warfare to steal resources from other tribes).

***Official Public Freemason:***

An official member of a Masonic Lodge.

***Unofficial Freemasons / Masonic War is Peace co-conspirators.***

Unofficial Freemasons are individuals who are not official members of a Masonic lodge, but consciously or unconsciously co-conspire with Masonic War is Peace culture values. They live in accordance to Masonic culture / religious / ideology values; either as conscious elite who use Masonic War is Peace culture human cattle breeding and sacrifice culling values for their own socio-political profits; or as unconscious human cattle slaves of Masonic elite's respective 'Zionist', 'Capitalist', 'Communist', 'Catholic', 'Christian', 'Islamist', 'Buddhist', etc tribes.

***Ecology of Peace: Non-Freemason.***

An individual who refuses to breed or consume above ecological carrying capacity; is not a War is Peace Freemason. A culture that requires its members to breed and consume below ecological carrying capacity limits, is not a War is Peace Masonic culture.

***Ecology of Peace -v- Masonic War is Peace Muslim:***

As excerpted from correspondence [\[PDF\]](#) to UK Muslim lawyer: Anjem Choudary; via UK: Society of Muslim Lawyers; Re: *Choudary: PM Theresa May or Anjem Choudary is irrelevant if British oligarchy & people not interested in EoP root cause problem solving.*

Abu Hurairah narrated that Allah's Messenger said, "A Muslim eats in one intestine while a Kafir [disbeliever] eats in seven intestines." - al-Bukhari, al-Sahih, Translated by Muhammad Muhsin Khan (Riyadh: Maktabat Dar-us-Salam, 1997) Hadith 5396

Ibn Athir al-Jazari (d. 606/1210) writes: "It is a metaphor for the contentment of the believer on a little of this world and a disbeliever's craving for an excess of it." - al-

Jazari, Ibn Athir, Jami' al-Usool fi Ahadith ar-Rasool, (Damascus: Maktaba Al-Halwani, 1971) Vol.7, 406

Ecology of Peace Factual Reality Muslim Interpretation:

An individual who advocates on behalf of Islamic doctrine that recognizes Ecology of Peace Factual Reality principles could interpret Abu Hurairah and Ibn Athir al-Jazari's interpretations of the statements of Allah's messenger as:

'An individual who self-identifies as a Sunni, Shia, Wahhabi or Sufi Muslim, whose procreation and consumption footprint of earth's finite resources is below ecological carrying capacity limits, metaphorically consumes earth's resources with one intestine, and can honestly and sincerely be referred to as an Ecology of Peace culture Sunni, Shia, Wahhabi or Sufi Muslim.

'An individual who self-identifies as a Sunni, Shia, Wahhabi or Sufi Muslim, whose procreation and consumption footprint of earth's finite resources is above ecological carrying capacity limits, metaphorically consumes earth's resources with seven intestines; and can honestly and sincerely be referred to as a Masonic War is Peace culture Sunni, Shia, Wahhabi or Sufi Kafir Muslim; until they listen to the honest and sincere constructive criticism and amend their procreation and consumption footprint behaviours to below ecological carrying capacity limits.

'An individual who self-identifies as a Sunni, Shia, Wahhabi or Sufi Muslim scholar or imam, whose interpretation of 'Islamic' doctrine advocates on behalf of Muslims having a one intestine procreation and consumption eco-footprint; i.e. below earth's finite ecological carrying capacity resource limits; is an Ecology of Peace Sunni, Shia, Wahhabi or Sufi Muslim scholar.

'An individual who self-identifies as a Sunni, Shia, Wahhabi or Sufi Muslim scholar or imam, whose interpretation of 'Islamic' doctrine advocates on behalf of Muslims having a seven intestine procreation and consumption eco-footprint; i.e. above earth's finite ecological carrying capacity resource limits; is a Masonic War is Peace Sunni, Shia, Wahhabi or Sufi Muslim scholar.

An excerpt of Ecology of Peace culture detailed suggested definitions of measuring any individuals procreation and consumption footprints as submitted to ICC Judges [\[PDF\]](#); can be found in EoP v WiP NWO negotiations: UK v Anjem Choudary [\[PDF\]](#)

### ***Ecology of Peace v Masonic War is Peace Christianity:***

The following is an excerpt from 26 July 2015 correspondence [\[PDF\]](#) to Unashamedly Ethical and Family Policy Institute: *Are Family Policy Institute and Unashamedly Ethical social and resource conflict problem solving ethics founded upon (i) Ecology of Peace factual reality or (ii) Masonic War is Peace flat earth beliefs?*

### **Summary: Ecology of Peace v Masonic War is Peace Christianity:**

An Ecology of Peace individual is someone who may not in the past or currently have abided by Ecology of Peace procreation or consumption factual reality ethical principles; as the basis for their ethical values; who chooses to [unconditionally or conditionally cooperate](#) to implement an Ecology of Peace international law social contract. Such a

person would be an unconditional or conditional cooperating Ecology of Peace Christian, Catholic, Muslim, Buddhist, Atheist, etc.

Conversely an individual whose religious ethical principles – whether based upon their own interpretation of their religious doctrine or upon a religious scholar, priest or popes interpretation of their religious doctrine – endorse the Flat Earth ‘right to breed and/or consume without any regard for ecological carrying capacity limits’ Masonic War is Peace international law social contract and object to the implementation of an Ecology of Peace international law social contract restricting all the worlds humans, from all religions, classes and races to procreate and consume below ecological carrying capacity limits. Such a person would consciously or unconsciously effectively be a [Masonic War is Peace](#) Christian, Catholic, Muslim, Buddhist, Atheist, etc.

\*~~~~\*

**Issues not addressed in this correspondence; pending court proceedings or arbitrator:**

Considering (i) that much of what I say that Frode disagrees with; he considers as ‘evidence’ for his non-existent legal ‘mental disorder’ definition; (ii) it is pointless repeating what I have previously stated; including evidence in support of such statements; until the matter is either formally before a court or an alternative dispute resolution arbitrator.

\*~~~~\*

**Lara Information provided to Frode request for Information:**

***Frode Moe 1) Respondent: "with alleged knowledge of mental legal health issues".***

Frode Moe: “1) Will you Lara please clarify in your request for info nr 6: "with alleged knowledge of mental legal health issues".”

Paragraph [6] “Frode Moe was at all times a qualified doctor, acting in his capacity as a medical expert; with alleged knowledge of legal mental health issues.”

Paragraph 6 in the *Lara Johnstone Affidavit in support of: Request for Info*; filed with the Clerk of the Court; occurs under the heading of “Respondents”. Generally speaking in an affidavit; the applicant provides a summary of who the respondents are.

According to my interpretation of your ‘mental disorder’ allegations correspondence; you have repeatedly legally represented yourself as a “as a medical expert; with alleged knowledge of legal mental health issues.”

Some of the factors that may be considered legally relevant for a Judge; if they find your guilty of unjustified mental disorder allegations; in considering the level of financial damages to award for your legally unjustified mental disorder allegations would include:

The evidence in support of you making the ‘mental disorder’ allegation in the capacity of:

- A sincere concerned family member

- A village idiot who knows nothing or very little about psychology
- Whether you admit you were angry or drunk, or something similar; and did not mean what you said and apologized or withdrew your statements
- Whether you legally presented yourself as a medical expert with alleged knowledge of legal mental health issues.

As I stated, according to my interpretation of your ‘mental disorder’ allegations correspondence; you were legally representing yourself to me, my family members, and the court “as a medical expert; with alleged knowledge of legal mental health issues.”

If that is an incorrect and/or unreasonable interpretation of your legal representation; I am happy to withdraw it and apologize.

***Frode Moe: 2) Five years of tax-reports from SARS.***

Frode Moe: “2) I will request from you Lara : 5 years of tax-reports from SARS. That is very relevant information as persons with a serious mental disorder often have difficulties to stay in a paid job and provide for themselves financially.”

I have not paid taxes since I returned to South African in 2002; for the following reasons.

Persons falsely – negligently and/or maliciously – accused of mental disorders also have difficulties finding professional employment that involves earning enough in order to be required to pay taxes.

My waitressing and Worm Farm earnings were not sufficient to require the payment of taxes.

A Magistrate or Judge who reads my references received from previous employers; prior to my return to South Africa; and being accused of ‘mental disorder’ for my radical honoursty activism, may conclude that a significant factor reason for why I have not been able to find employment to earn sufficient funds; to be required to pay taxes in accordance with my radical honoursty and activism skills; is as a result of negligent and/or malicious mental disorder allegations; may increase the amount of psychological integrity damages required to be paid to me.

In the same way that it would not be possible for you to practice as a doctor; if you lose your doctor’s license; it is very difficult if not impossible to practice as a credible publicly respected activist; once you have been accused of ‘mental disorders’. To accuse an activist – particularly an activist focused on honesty issues – of mental disorders; is not conducive to supporting them being considered credible activists.

Enclosure: (a) a Beeld frontpage newspaper article about my activism when I was in California; that referred to me as ‘South Africa’s Top Activist in America’. Please Note: There are many errors in the article regarding whom I was protesting with; I was not interviewed by the journalist; and I personally did not perceive myself as remotely close to South Africa’s top activist in America. (b) Reference letter from one of my Sailing employers when I was overseas working on yachts; namely S.Y. Aiglon; which was at the time the personal yacht of the Bavarian Royal Family Thurn and Taxis. I was the first and only woman ever hired as a deckhand on that yacht; while it was owned by the Thurn and Taxis family.

***Frode Moe 3) "Prisoner of Sex and War ShibumiTF MN-737" invitation***

Frode Moe: "3) Can you provide the invitation for support, "Prisoner of Sex and War ShibumiTF MN-737", you sent early December 2013 to more than 500 recipients in Norway. These recipients are mostly working for the media but also court officials, lawyers and members of parliament. You submitted their responses to the Swiss Federal Council referring to the Geneva Convention to treatment of Prisoners of War. This invitation to support, Prisoner of Sex & War-also sent to Timothy McVeigh(executed mass-murderer), US Navy JAG, President Putin co Kremlin Press Office, is most obviously connected to the court-case against the Norwegian mass-murderer Anders Breivik. It is relevant information as I am a Norwegian citizen and Lara's sexual harassment allegation started a year after the court-case against Anders Breivik took place."

Do you not have a copy of all the documents that I emailed to you?

I do not currently have a copy of that correspondence available on my computer.

My computer was hacked and the motherboard was damaged and destroyed on 10 May 2016; the day that I appeared in court before Magistrate Torlage; with Clive and Ann.

It was partially repaired; but the repairers stated that hardware that they had installed in the repair process would not allow for both hard-drives to be installed; consequently the computer went from two hard-drives; to a computer with one harddrive; with much less memory space on it. The 'Email Outlook' files were one of the files; that were not loaded onto the repaired computer. They were backed up on a memory stick; but the 'Outlook Email' file is rather large, over 7 gigabytes if I recall correctly; and so needs a lot of empty space on the harddrive; in order to be copied from the original hard-drive or memory stick; so I have not yet copied it back onto my computer; until I manage to delete other files; to make space for it.

In essence however, I have no objection to providing you with a copy of any correspondence I ever emailed to you. If or when I sort out my hard-drive files space; and manage to transfer the 'Email Outlook' file that has copies of all most of my email correspondence, that was not deleted; from about 2007 onwards; I can look for it; and provide you with a copy of it.

Enclosures:

- \* 19 Dec 1998 Beeld Article: Top SA Activist Arrested protesting Bombing of Iraq.
- \* 23 Aug 1991 S.Y.Aiglon Reference by First Mate: Kenneth Libby

Respectfully

Lara Johnstone  
Pro Se: GMC 2578-14: Lara Johnstone v Frode Moe

---

From: EoP MILED Clerk  
Date: Wed, Oct 26, 2016 at 7:14 PM  
Subject: From Frode Moe: Re: GMC 2578-14: Letter to Mag Essel: Request for Info: Filing of an Amended Notice of Motion; Dignitas Letter.  
To: Talitha Moe, "Counsel: Douglas Henney: Millers Inc Director", Clive Johnstone , Ann Johnstone  
Cc: Frode Moe

---

From: EoP MILED Clerk <eop.miled.clerk@gmail.com>  
Date: Wed, Oct 26, 2016 at 7:14 PM  
Subject: From Frode Moe: Re: GMC 2578-14: Letter to Mag Essel: Request for Info: Filing of an Amended Notice of Motion; Dignitas Letter.  
To: Talitha Moe <lee.moe@telkomsa.net>, "Counsel: Douglas Henney: Millers Inc Director" <Douglas@phinc.co.za>, Clive Johnstone <clann@telkomsa.net>, Ann Johnstone <annscg@telkomsa.net>  
Cc: Frode Moe <fro.moe@online.no>

TO: Talitha Moe (lee.moe@telkomsa.net); Counsel: Mr. Fanie Botes and Arno Crous via Douglas Henney: Millers Inc Director (Douglas@phinc.co.za)  
TO: Clive Johnstone (clann@telkomsa.net); Ann Johnstone (annscg@telkomsa.net)  
CC: Frode Moe (fro.moe@online.no)

Transparency copy of correspondence received from Frode Moe on 26 October 2016.

I shall provide my response to Frode's email in the next email.

Lara

---

From: Frode Moe <fro.moe@online.no>  
Date: Wed, Oct 26, 2016 at 3:38 PM  
Subject: Re: Lara Response to Frode Re: GMC 2578-14: Letter to Mag Essel: Request for Info: Filing of an Amended Notice of Motion; Dignitas Letter.  
To: EoP MILED Clerk <eop.miled.clerk@gmail.com>

Hello Lara

This is a response to your two emails received on the 24th of Oct 2016.

You suggest that I should get a lawyer as an arbitrator for our dispute in this case: " Do you have a mental disease or not"?

As I have stated several times earlier , a lawyer is not the right person to settle the CORE ISSUE :

Does Lara have a mental disease or not?

A psychiatrist is the right person to give the correct professional answer to that question.

If you have problem with your electricity , you do not call a plumber to find out what is wrong.

If you have a sick cow, you do not call a dentist to come but a veterinary to give you a diagnosis and treatment.

If you have a question about a mental disease , the lawyer can not give the answer.

A psychiatrist is working almost every day for years with these problems and is best qualified to give the correct answer.

When the diagnosis is made by the psychiatrist, then and only then can one use the lawyers to settle if the claims are valid. I will again suggest that your father Clive should find a psychiatrist that you and I can accept.

A condition is also that I will have to be included to present to the psychiatrist what I consider as evidence for my effort to help you.

Regards

Frode

---

From: EoP MILED Clerk  
Date: Wed, Oct 26, 2016 at 9:05 PM  
Subject: Lara Response to Frode Re: GMC 2578-14: Letter to Mag Essel: Request for Info: Filing of an Amended Notice of Motion; Dignitas Letter.  
To: Frode Moe  
Cc: Talitha Moe, "Douglas Henney: Millers Inc Director", Clive Johnstone, Ann Johnstone

---

From: EoP MILED Clerk <eop.miled.clerk@gmail.com>  
Date: Wed, Oct 26, 2016 at 9:05 PM  
Subject: Lara Response to Frode Re: GMC 2578-14: Letter to Mag Essel: Request for Info: Filing of an Amended Notice of Motion; Dignitas Letter.

To: Frode Moe <fro.moe@online.no>  
Cc: Talitha Moe <lee.moe@telkomsa.net>, "Douglas Henney: Millers Inc Director" <Douglas@phinc.co.za>, Clive Johnstone <clann@telkomsa.net>, Ann Johnstone <annscg@telkomsa.net>

TO: Frode Moe (fro.moe@online.no)  
CC: Talitha Moe (lee.moe@telkomsa.net); Mr. Fanie Botes and Arno Crous via Douglas Henney: Millers Inc Director (Douglas@phinc.co.za)  
CC: Clive Johnstone (clann@telkomsa.net); Ann Johnstone (annscg@telkomsa.net)

**Lara Response to Frode Re: GMC 2578-14: Apology or Financial Damages ADR or Court proceedings.**

Lara response to Frode's email dated 16 and 26 October 2016

\*~~~~~\* \*~~~~~\*

Frode: If you have a question about a mental disease , the lawyer can not give the answer. A psychiatrist is working almost every day for years with these problems and is best qualified to give the correct answer.

\*\*

Lara:

Maybe that is how the law works in Norway about making reasonable and lawful mental disorder allegations; I don't know.

But, we are not in Norway. This is South Africa.

As far as I am aware – and you can check with various lawyers -- that is not how the law works in South Africa about making a reasonable and justified legal 'mental disorder' allegation in South Africa.

Section 77(1)-(4) of the Criminal Procedure Act 51 of 1977 deals with the strict due process procedures – to ensure an individuals rights in accordance to constitutional principles to right to psychological integrity – for making a reasonable and justifiable 'mental disorder' allegation or enquiry in criminal cases; namely the rights of a criminal defendant accused of a mental disorder.

In civil cases, the standard for a 'reasonable' and 'justified' mental disorder allegation are plausibly higher; considering the law's recognition that unjustified and unreasonable negligent and/or malicious mental disorder allegations are unlawful and can incur large psychological integrity damages awards.

Here follows in simple English my interpretation of Section 77(1)-(4) of the Criminal Procedure Act 51 of 1977; especially as relating to to Sections 12, 15, 16, 19 of the South African Constitution.

Any individual who wants to make a reasonable and justified legal ‘mental disorder’ allegation against another person; coercively demanding that such other person go and see a psychologist or psychiatrist; must do so in a court of law, under oath, including cross examination; providing their reasonable and justified legal ‘mental disorder’ allegation evidence.

Only if the magistrate agrees that such ‘mental disorder’ allegation is legally justified and reasonable; shall the magistrate issue an order that the particular individual should go and see a psychologist or psychiatrist; as the individual prefers.

However if the particular individual being accused of the ‘mental disorder’ disagrees with the Magistrate’s decision; they shall also have the right to appeal the Magistrate’s decision; to the High Court, Supreme Court of Appeal and Constitutional Court.

Considering that your ‘mental disorder’ allegations were all based upon you having read something that I wrote; which you consider ‘evidence’ for your ‘mental disorder’ allegation. You can take that document which you read to a psychologist and explain to them how or why you thought whatever I wrote or said was evidence for a ‘mental disorder’ and they can enquire into the issues; and issue a report about whether they agree with you or not.

If a psychologist agrees with you; then you can submit their report to the court; and they can be called to testify under oath under cross examination to the contents of their report.

If the Magistrate considers the contents of their report to be reasonable and justified allegation of possible ‘mental disorder’ s/he can suggest that I see one or more psychologists of my own choosing; who can examine the same report and ask me questions about the particular document that I wrote; who can then testify under oath regarding their report. Based upon both – or however many reports – the magistrate can make a decision as to whether that particular ‘mental disorder’ allegation made by Frode Moe; was reasonable and lawfully justified or not.

If the psychologist you go and see does not agree with you that any of the documents you consider ‘evidence’ of my mental disorder’ amount to a lawful reasonable and justified mental disorder allegation; then you can contact a few more psychologists or you can decide it is time for you to take responsibility and apologize and withdraw your mental disorder allegations.

Here follows text transcript of Section 77(1)-(4) of the Criminal Procedure Act 51 of 1977 [\[PDF\]](#); and Sections 12, 15, 16, 19 of the South African Constitution [\[PDF\]](#).

## **SA Criminal Procedure Act 51 of 1977**

Chapter 13: Accused: Capacity to understand proceedings: Mental Illness and Criminal Responsibility (ss 77-79)

### 77 Capacity of accused to understand proceedings

(1) If it appears to the court at any stage of criminal proceedings that the accused is by reason of mental illness or mental defect not capable of understanding the proceedings so as to make a proper defence, the court shall direct that the matter be enquired into and be reported on in accordance with the provisions of section 79.

(1A) At proceedings in terms of sections 77 (1) and 78 (2) the court may, if it is of the opinion that substantial injustice would otherwise result, order that the accused be provided with the services of a legal practitioner in terms of section 22 of the Legal Aid South Africa Act, 2014. [Sub-s. (1A) inserted by s. 3 (a) of Act 68 of 1998 and amended by s. 25 (1) of Act 39 of 2014.]

(2) If the finding contained in the relevant report is the unanimous finding of the persons who under section 79 enquired into the mental condition of the accused and the finding is not disputed by the prosecutor or the accused, the court may determine the matter on such report without hearing further evidence.

(3) If the said finding is not unanimous or, if unanimous, is disputed by the prosecutor or the accused, the court shall determine the matter after hearing evidence, and the prosecutor and the accused may to that end present evidence to the court, including the evidence of any person who under section 79 enquired into the mental condition of the accused.

(4) Where the said finding is disputed, the party disputing the finding may subpoena and cross-examine any person who under section 79 has enquired into the mental condition of the accused.

#### **South African Constitution:**

12. Everyone has the right to bodily and psychological integrity, which includes the right— (a) to make decisions concerning reproduction; (b) to security in and control over their body; and (c) not to be subjected to medical or scientific experiments without their informed consent.

15. (1) Everyone has the right to freedom of conscience, religion, thought, belief and opinion.

16. (1) Everyone has the right to freedom of expression, which includes - (b) freedom to receive or impart information or ideas; (c) freedom of artistic creativity;

19. (1) Every citizen is free to make political choices.

\*~~~~~\*

Frode [16 Oct 2016]: "I hope this request for information from Lara is an attempt to solve the case outside the court."

\*\*

Lara:

I have been in the process of drawing up an alternative dispute resolution enquiry letter; which I shall submit to various attorneys and alternative dispute resolution organizations; to determine what their alternative dispute resolution procedures are.

I shall provide you with their response; if they respond.

If any of their alternative dispute resolution procedures are agreeable to both of us; we can resolve the matter via alternative dispute resolution.

If the alternative dispute resolution procedures are not agreeable to one or both of us; we can resolve the matter via court proceedings.

Respectfully

Lara Johnstone  
Pro Se: GMC 2578-14: Lara Johnstone v Frode Moe

---

From: Frode Moe  
Date: Thu, Oct 27, 2016 at 9:22 AM  
Subject: Re: Lara Response to Frode Re: GMC 2578-14: Letter to Mag Essel: Request for Info: Filing of an Amended Notice of Motion; Dignitas Letter.  
To: EoP MILED Clerk

---

From: Frode Moe <fro.moe@online.no>  
Date: Thu, Oct 27, 2016 at 9:22 AM  
Subject: Re: Lara Response to Frode Re: GMC 2578-14: Letter to Mag Essel: Request for Info: Filing of an Amended Notice of Motion; Dignitas Letter.  
To: EoP MILED Clerk <eop.miled.clerk@gmail.com>

Hallo Lara

We are talking about different situations.  
You are referring to what should happen in a court case while I am suggesting a solution outside court.

You have given me an option to solve it outside court with a lawyer as an arbitrator.  
I say that an arbitrator must be a person with the right know how. A lawyer do not have the knowledge about psychiatry and mental diseases .

A psychiatrist do have the professional know how about mental disorders. The psychiatrist can make a better conclusion if he also speaks to and see the "patient".

As I have mentioned earlier, a psychologist is not an acceptable profession in your case as they are not working with the more serious kind of mental disorders.

Regards

Frode

---

From: EoP MILED Clerk  
Date: Thu, Oct 27, 2016 at 11:27 AM  
Subject: From Frode Re: GMC 2578-14: Apology or Financial Damages ADR or Court proceedings.  
To: Talitha Moe, "Counsel: Douglas Henney: Millers Inc Director"  
Cc: Clive Johnstone, Ann Johnstone

---

From: EoP MILED Clerk <eop.miled.clerk@gmail.com>  
Date: Thu, Oct 27, 2016 at 11:27 AM  
Subject: From Frode Re: GMC 2578-14: Apology or Financial Damages ADR or Court proceedings.  
To: Talitha Moe <lee.moe@telkomsa.net>, "Counsel: Douglas Henney: Millers Inc Director" <Douglas@phinc.co.za>  
Cc: Clive Johnstone <clann@telkomsa.net>, Ann Johnstone <annscg@telkomsa.net>

TO: Talitha Moe (lee.moe@telkomsa.net); Counsel: Mr. Fanie Botes and Arno Crous via Douglas Henney: Millers Inc Director (Douglas@phinc.co.za)  
TO: Clive Johnstone (clann@telkomsa.net); Ann Johnstone (annscg@telkomsa.net)  
CC: Frode Moe (fro.moe@online.no)

Transparency copy of correspondence received from Frode Moe on 27 October 2016.

I shall provide my response to Frode's email in the next email.

Lara

---

From: Frode Moe <fro.moe@online.no>  
Date: Thu, Oct 27, 2016 at 9:22 AM  
Subject: Re: Lara Response to Frode Re: GMC 2578-14: Letter to Mag Essel: Request for Info: Filing of an Amended Notice of Motion; Dignitas Letter.  
To: EoP MILED Clerk <eop.miled.clerk@gmail.com>

Hallo Lara

We are talking about different situations.  
You are referring to what should happen in a court case while I am suggesting a solution outside court.

You have given me an option to solve it outside court with a lawyer as an arbitrator.  
I say that an arbitrator must be a person with the right know how. A lawyer do not have the knowledge about psychiatry and mental diseases .

A psychiatrist do have the professional know how about

mental disorders. The psychiatrist can make a better conclusion if he also speaks to and see the "patient".

As I have mentioned earlier, a psychologist is not an acceptable profession in your case as they are not working with the more serious kind of mental disorders.

Regards

Frode

---

From: EoP MILED Clerk  
Date: Thu, Oct 27, 2016 at 12:18 PM  
Subject: Lara Response to Frode Re: GMC 2578-14: Apology or Financial Damages ADR or Court proceedings.  
To: Frode Moe  
Cc: Talitha Moe, "Douglas Henney: Millers Inc Director", Clive Johnstone, Ann Johnstone

---

From: EoP MILED Clerk <eop.miled.clerk@gmail.com>  
Date: Thu, Oct 27, 2016 at 12:18 PM  
Subject: Lara Response to Frode Re: GMC 2578-14: Apology or Financial Damages ADR or Court proceedings.  
To: Frode Moe <fro.moe@online.no>  
Cc: Talitha Moe <lee.moe@telkomsa.net>, "Douglas Henney: Millers Inc Director" <Douglas@phinc.co.za>, Clive Johnstone <clann@telkomsa.net>, Ann Johnstone <annscg@telkomsa.net>

TO: Frode Moe (fro.moe@online.no)  
CC: Talitha Moe (lee.moe@telkomsa.net); Mr. Fanie Botes and Arno Crous via Douglas Henney: Millers Inc Director (Douglas@phinc.co.za)  
CC: Clive Johnstone (clann@telkomsa.net); Ann Johnstone (annscg@telkomsa.net)

**Lara Response to Frode Re: GMC 2578-14: Apology or Financial Damages ADR or Court proceedings.**

Lara response to Frode's email dated 27 October 2016

\*~~~~~\* \*~~~~~\*

Frode: We are talking about different situations. You are referring to what should happen in a court case while I am suggesting a solution outside court.

\*\*

Lara:

Whether any dispute is resolved in court or out of court it should be in accordance to the rule of law within the particular country; otherwise it would be violating one or both persons rights and/or be illegal and hence null and void.

As I informed you in great detail in my 26 October email:

As far as I am aware – and you can check with various South African lawyers -- that is not how the law works in South Africa about making a reasonable and justified legal ‘mental disorder’ allegation in South Africa.

Section 77(1)-(4) of the Criminal Procedure Act 51 of 1977 deals with the strict due process procedures – to ensure an individuals rights in accordance to constitutional principles to right to psychological integrity – for making a reasonable and justifiable ‘mental disorder’ allegation or enquiry in criminal cases; namely the rights of a criminal defendant accused of a mental disorder.

In civil cases, the standard for a ‘reasonable’ and ‘justified’ mental disorder allegation are plausibly higher; considering the law’s recognition that unjustified and unreasonable negligent and/or malicious mental disorder allegations; can have hugely damaging violations of psychological integrity consequences on the individual negligently and/or malicious incorrectly diagnosed; and consequently can incur large psychological integrity financial damages awards.

If you find a South African lawyer who has a different interpretation of how the law works in South Africa about making a reasonable and justified legal ‘mental disorder’ allegation in South Africa; kindly ask them to clarify their interpretation of the particular South African statutory or constitutional law; they base their interpretation upon; and email it to me.

Once I receive their interpretation including the South African statutory law it is based upon; I shall investigate and research it. If they are correct; I shall amend my interpretation accordingly; and if necessary apologize to you; for having informed you of information I subjectively sincerely believed to be accurate; but which I have been informed to be inaccurate.

If however there is still ambiguity; in terms of my interpretation and your lawyers interpretation; we can file an application to the court; to request the High Court to consider both of our – and any other interested party – interpretations of South African law in terms of making reasonable and justified legal mental disorder allegation; and issue a declaratory order confirming South African law regarding making a reasonable and justified legal mental disorder allegation against an individual in South Africa.

***Conclusion:***

Everyone, whether a village idiot citizen, a lawyer, a judge, a doctor, a psychologist, a psychiatrist, must follow South African law in terms of making a reasonable and justified legal ‘mental disorder’ allegation against another person, whose ‘mental disorder’ allegations are within the legal jurisdiction of South African law.

If they do not – doesn't matter if they are a village idiot, doctor; whether Norwegian or South African, psychiatrist, psychologist or lawyer -- they can be sued for negligently or maliciously violating the particular' individuals right to psychological integrity.

If my aforementioned interpretation of South African law in terms of making a reasonable and justified legal 'mental disorder' allegation is shown to be incorrect; by South African statutory law I am unaware of; and/or a Courts declaratory order; I shall amend my interpretation and if necessary apologize.

Respectfully

Lara Johnstone  
Pro Se: GMC 2578-14: Lara Johnstone v Frode Moe

---

From: Frode Moe  
To: EoP MILED Clerk  
Date: Thu, Oct 27, 2016 at 10:06 PM  
Subject: Re: Lara Response to Frode Re: GMC 2578-14: Apology or Financial Damages ADR or Court proceedings.

---

From: Frode Moe <fro.moe@online.no>  
Date: Thu, Oct 27, 2016 at 10:06 PM  
Subject: Re: Lara Response to Frode Re: GMC 2578-14: Apology or Financial Damages ADR or Court proceedings.  
To: EoP MILED Clerk <eop.miled.clerk@gmail.com>

Hello Lara

It is up to you if you will see a psychiatrist as a arbitrator with me and then a lawyer arbitrator afterwards. There are no laws and regulations for what we can agree upon outside court. It is your choice.

Regards

Frode

---

From: EoP MILED Clerk  
Date: Fri, Oct 28, 2016 at 10:43 AM  
Subject: From Frode Re: GMC 2578-14: Apology or Financial Damages ADR or Court proceedings.  
To: Talitha Moe, "Counsel: Douglas Henney: Millers Inc Director"  
Cc: Clive Johnstone <clann@telkomsa.net>, Ann Johnstone, Frode Moe

---

From: EoP MILED Clerk <eop.miled.clerk@gmail.com>  
Date: Fri, Oct 28, 2016 at 10:43 AM  
Subject: From Frode Re: GMC 2578-14: Apology or Financial Damages ADR or Court proceedings.  
To: Talitha Moe <lee.moe@telkomsa.net>, "Counsel: Douglas Henney: Millers Inc Director" <Douglas@phinc.co.za>  
Cc: Clive Johnstone <clann@telkomsa.net>, Ann Johnstone <annscg@telkomsa.net>, Frode Moe <fro.moe@online.no>

TO: Talitha Moe (lee.moe@telkomsa.net); Counsel: Mr. Fanie Botes and Arno Crous via Douglas Henney: Millers Inc Director (Douglas@phinc.co.za)  
TO: Clive Johnstone (clann@telkomsa.net); Ann Johnstone (annscg@telkomsa.net)  
CC: Frode Moe (fro.moe@online.no)

Transparency copy of correspondence received from Frode Moe on 27 October 2016.

I shall provide my response to Frode's email in the next email.

Lara

---

From: Frode Moe <fro.moe@online.no>  
Date: Thu, Oct 27, 2016 at 10:06 PM  
Subject: Re: Lara Response to Frode Re: GMC 2578-14: Apology or Financial Damages ADR or Court proceedings.  
To: EoP MILED Clerk <eop.miled.clerk@gmail.com>

Hello Lara

It is up to you if you will see a psychiatrist as a arbitrator with me and then a lawyer arbitrator afterwards. There are no laws and regulations for what we can agree upon outside court. It is your choice.

Regards

Frode

---

From: EoP MILED Clerk  
Date: Fri, Oct 28, 2016 at 11:58 AM  
Subject: Lara Response to Frode Re: GMC 2578-14: Apology or Financial Damages ADR or Court proceedings.  
To: Frode Moe  
Cc: Talitha Moe, "Douglas Henney: Millers Inc Director", Clive Johnstone, Ann Johnstone

---

From: EoP MILED Clerk <eop.miled.clerk@gmail.com>  
Date: Fri, Oct 28, 2016 at 11:58 AM  
Subject: Lara Response to Frode Re: GMC 2578-14: Apology or Financial Damages ADR or Court proceedings.  
To: Frode Moe <fro.moe@online.no>  
Cc: Talitha Moe <lee.moe@telkomsa.net>, "Douglas Henney: Millers Inc Director" <Douglas@phinc.co.za>, Clive Johnstone <clann@telkomsa.net>, Ann Johnstone <annscg@telkomsa.net>

TO: Frode Moe (fro.moe@online.no)  
CC: Talitha Moe (lee.moe@telkomsa.net); Mr. Fanie Botes and Arno Crous via Douglas Henney: Millers Inc Director (Douglas@phinc.co.za)  
CC: Clive Johnstone (clann@telkomsa.net); Ann Johnstone (annscg@telkomsa.net)

**Lara Response to Frode Re: GMC 2578-14: Apology or Financial Damages ADR or Court proceedings.**

***Contract law: lawful and unlawful:***

Explained simply some of the principles of a lawful contract are: 1. Agreement; 2. Consideration; 3. Intention; 4. Capacity; 5. Genuine Consent; 6. Legality.

**1. Agreement**

There must be an offer and an acceptance with a definite verbal or written agreement between the parties. In simple terms, one party must make a clear offer, and the other party must accept it.

**2. Consideration**

Except in very limited circumstances there can be no contract or agreement without consideration. Consideration is the exchange of promises by the parties to the contract or agreement. It can be the payment of money, the delivery of equipment, the promise to do or perform a service or work, the promise not to take an action or not to take or enforce a right.

**3. Intention**

Each person, on entering a contract, must intend to be bound by it. For a person to be bound to a contract, he must seriously intend to create legal obligations and have intended the agreement to have legal consequences.

#### 4. Capacity

Both parties in a contract must have the necessary mental capacity to understand what they are doing. Under common law anyone has the right to enter into a contract but the following groups of people are considered likely to lack the necessary capacity to a certain extent: (i) young people (persons under the age of eighteen); (ii) people who have a mental impairment (including an intellectual disability); and (iii) people under the influence of drugs or alcohol. For a person to avoid a contract on the ground of their incapacity, they must also show that they lacked capacity to enter into a contract and that the other party knew or ought to have known their incapacity. (iii) A contract is voidable at the option of a party who, as a result of mental disorder or intoxication, is unable to understand the nature of the contract being made – provided that the other party knew, or ought to have known, of that person's disability. The party seeking to withdraw from the contract has the onus of proving both these requirements – that is that they were suffering from such a disability and that the other party was – or ought to have been – aware of it.

#### 5. Genuine consent

Both parties agree to the contract of their own free will; A party's genuine consent is an essential element of a legally binding contract.

Genuine consent to enter into a contract can be affected by a number of issues. For example, during the contractual negotiations, there may have been:

##### Undue influence.

Undue influence exists there is an inequality of power between the contracting parties which results in the weaker party entering into a contract with the dominant party. Where the weaker party cannot be said to have entered into the contract voluntarily because of the influence of the dominant party, the influence is said to be 'undue' and the court may set the contract aside.

##### Mistake

Where a mistake has occurred which shows that the parties have agreed to different things, or where there are such different beliefs that the contract was never properly understood, the contract may be declared void. Mistake is a complex area of contract law and one where judges have traditionally been pretty unsympathetic to someone who argues that he or she has made a terrible mistake. As a general rule, being mistaken about some aspect of a contract will not provide a party with a right to escape contractual obligations – even if that mistake is fundamental.

##### Misrepresentation

Misrepresentation is the giving of false information by one party to the other before the contract is made, which induces them to make the contract. If you make a contract in reliance on a misrepresentation and suffer loss as a result, you can cancel the contract or claim damages.

##### Duress:

Duress is defined as 'actual or threatened violence to an individual to obtain a contractual promise'. If it is established that consent is obtained through duress then the weaker party may choose to avoid the agreement.

##### Unconscionable conduct

Unconscionable conduct also deals with transactions between dominant and weaker parties; it therefore overlaps with duress and undue influence.

Each of these factors or events may mean that consent was not fully informed and freely given by one of the parties and that party may therefore be able to avoid their contractual obligations.

#### 6. Legality

A contract may be illegal because its subject matter is prohibited by statute or because it infringes a rule of public policy. A contract containing illegal acts, promises or objects would violate this condition.

#### ***Summary Simple English: Consenting Agreements: Lawful and Unlawful***

Consenting agreements made outside of court, by any one or more parties; are lawful; if the actions the individuals agreed upon; were (a) lawful; and (b) based upon fully informed plain language consent of all parties.

Consenting agreements made outside of court, by any one or two parties; are unlawful; if the actions the individuals agreed upon; were (a) unlawful; and/or (b) based upon one or more parties lack of fully informed consent.

#### ***Fully Informed Consent Statutory Law:***

The National Health Act of 2003 (NHA) sets out the sequence of steps to be taken when obtaining informed consent, integrated with the Consumer Protection Act of 2008 (CPA); and plain language. Plain language statutory law is available in: The Short-term Insurance Act, 53 of 1998; The Long-term Insurance Act, 52 of 1998; The Companies Act, 71 of 2008.

#### ***Plain language:***

The South African National Credit Act, 34 of 2005, which regulates that “information to consumers must be in plain and understandable language”. The South African Consumer Protection Act, 68 of 2008, not only regulates the use of plain language, but also define the concept for a South African context.

In South Africa, several Acts of government regulate the use of plain language in consumer communication: The Short-term Insurance Act, 53 of 1998; The Long-term Insurance Act, 52 of 1998; The Companies Act, 71 of 2008; and The South African National Credit Act, 34 of 2005, regulates that “information to consumers must be in plain and understandable language”. The South African Consumer Protection Act, 68 of 2008, not only regulates the use of plain language, but also define the concept for a South African context: "Right to information in plain and understandable language:

(1) The producer of a notice, document or visual representation that is required, in terms of this Act or any other law, to be produced, provided or displayed to a consumer must produce, provide or display that notice, document or visual representation — ..[.]. in plain language ..[.].

(2) For the purposes of this Act, a notice, document or visual representation is in plain language if it is reasonable to conclude that an ordinary consumer of the class of persons for whom the notice, document or visual representation is intended, with average literacy skills and minimal experience as a consumer of the relevant goods or services, could be expected to

understand the content, significance, and import of the notice, document or visual representation without undue effort. ..[.].

In *The Plain Language Movement and Legal Reform in the South African Law of Contract*, Esti Louw answers the question: What is Plain Language as follows:

The term 'plain language' is not a difficult one to grasp, since it conveys exactly what it stands for and what the plain language movement seeks to implement. As regards plain language in the legal sphere, one should first look at what traditional legal language looks like and to what extent it differs from the plain language standards that are now trying to make their way into legal language use. This is especially true for its application in the realm of the law of contract, and, in particular, in commercial contracts.

There are many excellent definitions of 'plain language'. One good definition was given by Cutts. He defines 'plain English' as 'The writing and setting out of essential information in a way that gives a co-operative, motivated person a good chance of understanding the document at first reading, and in the same sense that the writer meant it to be understood.' .... Eagleson agrees with Garner that plain English is clear, straightforward expression... that avoids obscurity, inflated vocabulary and convoluted sentence construction. Writers who write in 'plain language' allow their audience to focus on the message instead of being distracted by complicated language. They ensure that their audience understands the message easily.

The above definitions are persuasive, and allow one to propose that 'plain language' is simply a way of writing so that the person for whom it is intended can understand it with ease. When a legal document is drafted in clear and understandable language, it improves communication, assists with the more effective sharing of information and generally has the effect that all relevant parties are informed of their respective roles.

### ***Negligent and/or Malicious Violations of Informed Consent:***

Subjectively conscious negligent and/or malicious violations of informed consenting agreements can result in criminal prosecution of fraud.

### ***Is Frode subjectively conscious of the extensive evidence indicating that Psychiatry is not based on science; and is practicing Fraud?:***

If you secretly know, but publicly pretend you don't know that a particular plumber is defrauding his clients; by installing old rusty pipes that will burst; while charging his clients for new steel pipes; and you market that plumber to friends and family; are you engaged in honest sincere fully informed consenting marketing to help your friends solve their plumbing problem; or are you engaged in negligent and/or malicious fraud?

Have you read any of the information; or watched any of the documentaries; I have previously informed you of; documenting psychologists and psychiatrists providing evidence that mainstream psychiatry and psychology are involved in fraudulent practices?

### ***Evidentiary Information re: Fraud of Psychiatry:***

Here follow some quotes from psychiatrists, psychologists and neurologists books and documentaries where they alleged – as experts – that psychiatry and psychology is engaged in fraudulent practices.

“There is no such thing as mental illness. Psychiatric diagnosis of “mental disorders” is just a way of stigmatising behaviour that society does not want to live with. Psychiatry thrives on coercion and is replacing religion as a form of social control.” - Dr. Thomas Szasz

“Biological psychology/psychiatry is a total perversion of medicine and science, and a fraud.” - Neurologist Fred Baughman, *The ADHD Fraud: How Psychiatry Makes "Patients" of Normal Children*.

“Going to a psychiatrist has become one of the most dangerous things a person can do.” - Peter Breggin, MD; *Toxic Psychiatry*.

“There is no such thing as a mental disorder. A mental disorder is whatever someone says it is, and if the person saying "This is a mental disorder", has enough power and influence, then people believe 'Oh, that is a mental disorder'.” - Dr. Paula Caplan, Harvard

“The entire enterprise of defining mental disorder is pointless, at least in so far as the goal is to allow us to recognize “genuine” or “true” disorders” - Dr. Mary Boyle, *Schizophrenia: A Scientific Delusion?*

“DSM is a book of tentatively assembled agreements. Agreements don't always make sense, nor do they always reflect reality. You can have agreements among experts without validity. Even if you could find four people who agreed that the earth is flat, that the moon is made of green cheese, that smoking cigarettes poses no health risks, or that politicians are never corrupt, such agreements do not establish truth.” – Herb Kutchins and Stuart Kirk: *Making us Crazy: DSM: The Psychiatric Bible and the Creation of Mental Disorders*

“To admit the central role of value judgments and cultural norms [in the creation of the DSM] is to give the whole game away. The DSM has to be seen as reliable and valid, or the whole enterprise of medical psychiatry collapses.” – Lucy Johnstone, *The Users and Abusers of Psychiatry*

“[Alleged Mental Disorders] are based on a grab-bag of checklists for disorders that are published in a book called the DSM; which is the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders. There are no statistics in this book, by the way. That just makes it sound more scientific.” -- Dr Margaret Hagen, Professor of Psychology, Boston University, *Whores of the Court: The Fraud of Psychiatric Testimony and the Rape of American Justice*.

Books documenting the Fraud of ‘Medical’ Psychiatry:

- Whores of the Court: The Fraud of Psychiatric Testimony and the Rape of American Justice, Margaret A. Hagen, Ph.D
- The Second Sin, Thomas Szasz
- Coercion as Cure: A Critical History of Psychiatry, Thomas Szasz
- Insanity: The Idea and its Consequences, Thomas Szasz
- Law, Liberty and Psychiatry, Thomas Szasz

- A Lexicon of Lunacy: Metaphoric Malady, Moral Responsibility and Psychiatry, Thomas Szasz
- Liberation by Oppression: A Comparative Study of Slavery and Psychiatry, Thomas Szasz
- The Age of Madness: The history of Involuntary Mental Hospitalization, Thomas Szasz
- The Manufacture of Madness: A Comparative Study of the Inquisition and the Mental Health Movement, Thomas Szasz
- The Myth of Mental Illness: Foundations of a Theory of Personal Conduct, Thomas Szasz
- The Myth of Psychotherapy, Thomas Szasz
- Psychiatry: The Science of Lies, Thomas Szasz
- The Therapeutic State: Psychiatry in the Mirror of Current Events, Thomas Szasz
- The ADHD Fraud: How Psychiatry Makes "Patients" of Normal Children, Fred A. Bauchmann, Jr, MD
- Toxic Psychiatry, Peter Breggin, MD
- They Say You're Crazy: How the Worlds Most Powerful Psychiatrists Decide Who's Normal, Paula J. Caplan Ph.D
- Schizophrenia: A Scientific Delusion, Mary Boyle
- Making us Crazy: DSM: The Psychiatric Bible and the Creation of Mental Disorders, Herb Kutchins & Stuart A Kirk
- Users and Abusers of Psychiatry: A Critical Look at Traditional Psychiatric Practice, Lucy Johnstone

Documentaries:

Short:

- Dr Jeffrey Schaler: Psychologist and Professor at American University; speech upon receipt of the 2006 Thomas Szasz Award - [Psychiatry is a Fraud](#).
- Dr. John Breeding; Psychologist short clarification discussion about [Political Psychiatry, Social Control and Big Pharma](#).
- Dr. Paula Caplan: [Losing Labels to Find Ourselves, What is wrong with psychiatric diagnosis?](#).

Long:

- Prof Mary Boyle: [Is psychology fearful of social context?](#)
- CCHR: [The Marketing of Madness: Are we all Insane?](#): “The definitive documentary on psychotropic drugging—this is the story of the high-income partnership between drug companies and psychiatry which has created an \$80 billion profit from the peddling of psychotropic drugs to an unsuspecting public. But appearances are deceiving. How valid are psychiatrist’s diagnoses—and how safe are their drugs? Digging deep beneath the corporate veneer, this three-part documentary exposes the truth behind the slick marketing schemes and scientific deceit that conceal a dangerous and often deadly sales campaign.” Youtube: [Marketing of Madness](#).
- CCHR: [Diagnostics and Statistical Manual: Psychiatry's Deadliest Scam](#): “It’s 943 pages long and lists out 374 mental “disorders.” It is the basis for the listing of mental disorders in the International Classification of Diseases that is used throughout the world. And though it weighs less than five pounds, its influence pervades all aspects of modern society: our governments, our courts, our military, our media and our schools. Using it, psychiatrists can enforce psychiatric drugging, seize your children and even

take away your most precious personal freedoms. It is psychiatry's Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, and it is the engine that drives a \$330 billion psychiatric industry. But is there any proof behind the DSM? Or is it nothing more than an elaborate pseudoscientific sham?" – Youtube: [The DSM: Psychiatry's Deadliest Scam](#).

- CCHR: [Psychiatry: An Industry of Death](#): "Through rare historical and contemporary footage and interviews with more than 160 doctors, attorneys, educators, survivors and experts on the mental health industry and its abuses, this riveting documentary blazes the bright light of truth on the brutal pseudoscience and multi-billion dollar fraud that is psychiatry. We think you have the right to know the cold, hard facts about psychiatry, its practitioners and the threat they pose to our children. Governments, insurance companies and private individuals pay billions of dollars each year to psychiatrists in pursuit of cures that psychiatrists admit do not exist. Psychiatry's "therapies" have caused millions of deaths." Youtube: [Psychiatry: An Industry of Death](#).
- CCHR: [The Age of Fear: Psychiatry's Reign of Terror](#): "Filmed in Germany and Austria, The Age of Fear: Psychiatry's Reign of Terror, draws from over 80 interviews of psychiatric experts, historians and survivors. Containing shocking personal testimonies and stark inside footage, the documentary tells the true story of psychiatry's sordid history and current practices, revealing how its reliance on brutality and coercion has not changed since the moment it was born." Youtube: [Age of Fear: Psychiatry's Reign of Terror](#).
- CCHR: [The Hidden Enemy: Inside Psychiatry's Covert Agenda](#): "Today, with militaries of the world awash in psychiatry and psychiatric drugs, 23 soldiers and veterans are committing suicide every day. Psychiatrists say we need more psychiatry. But should we trust them? Or is psychiatry the hidden enemy? Featuring interviews with over 80 soldiers and experts, this penetrating documentary shatters the façade to reveal the real culprits who are destroying our world's militaries from within. The most dangerous enemy is the one you never suspect." Youtube: [Psychiatry in the Military: The Hidden Enemy](#).

Respectfully

Lara Johnstone  
Pro Se: GMC 2578-14: Lara Johnstone v Frode Moe